



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/542,564 10/13/95 MINOR

22M2/1022

FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON AND HERBERT
SUITE 3400
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

H EXAMINER 2536/WSG	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREGORY, B	9

DATE MAILED:
2202

10/22/97

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/542,564

Applicant(s)
Halsey M. Minor et al.

Examiner
Bernarr Earl Gregory

Group Art Unit
2202



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bernarr Earl Gregory (3) _____

(2) Clare Hartnett (4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 20, 1997

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-21

Identification of prior art discussed:
U.S. Census Bureau Homepage on the Internet.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The rejection of claims 1-21 was discussed generally. The examiner explained his position on the rejection. Applicants' attorney stated that it was not certain that what the age of the website was or that it had always offered demographic data. The examiner pointed to the December 1994 award and stated that any data handled by a government bureau such as the Census Bureau would necessarily be demographic. The examiner pointed out that the claims do not plainly point to any functional use of the demographic data. Applicants' attorney stated that she would propose claim language to remedy that omission in an After Final Amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

TEL: (703) 306-4153
FAX: (703) 306-4195

Bernarr E. Gregory
BERNARR E. GREGORY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2202

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.